

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12

Plaintiff,

13

v.

CR. NO. S-04-79 LKK

14

MARCUS EDWARD MOORE,

15

Defendant.

/

16

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

17

Plaintiff,

18

v.

CR. NO. S-06-70 GEB

19

MARCUS MOORE,

RELATED CASE ORDER

20

Defendant.

/

22

23

24

25

26

Examination of the above-entitled criminal actions reveals that the two (2) actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 83-123, E.D. Cal. (1997). For the reasons set forth in the government's Notice of Related Cases, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of

1 judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the  
2 parties.

3 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under  
4 Local Rules 83-123 merely has the result that both actions are  
5 assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is  
6 effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases  
7 are generally assigned to the judge to whom the first filed action  
8 was assigned.

9 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated CR. NO.  
10 S-06-70 GEB be, and the same hereby is, reassigned to Judge  
11 Lawrence K. Karlton for all further proceedings. Henceforth the  
12 caption on all documents filed in the reassigned case shall be  
13 shown as CR. NO. S-06-70 LKK.

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make  
15 appropriate adjustment in the assignment of criminal cases to  
16 compensate for this reassignment.

17 DATED: April 5, 2006.

18 /s/Lawrence K. Karlton  
19 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
20 SENIOR JUDGE  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26